


Is Plinko The Best The Fed Can Do? Plinko was made famous on the TV show The Price is Right. To play the game, a contestant drops a coin into one of the many slots at the top of the Plinko board. Then they watch the coin fall, bouncing off pegs until it reaches the bottom. The contestant hopes the coin ends in a generous reward slot at the bottom. The picture below will jog your memory.



Unfortunately, a silly game of chance like Plinko is a great analog to explain current monetary policy and its flaws.

COVID QE

When COVID shut down the economy, the Fed immediately doled out massive amounts of monetary stimulus. In the first two months of the crisis, the Fed bought nearly \$3 trillion of assets via QE. That is more than twice what they purchased during the worst moments of the Great Financial Crisis. A year later and the Fed is still buying \$120 billion of assets monthly.



At no time since last March, or even today, has the Fed or Congress assessed whether the amount of monetary policy or distribution method is effective or even appropriate. Monetary policy is like a game of chance in regards to who it benefits. The Fed has minimal ability to direct where and how stimulus funds are employed. Given that, one would think our "leaders" would ask the hard questions.

RIA Pro

Get the latest trades, analysis, and insights from the RIA Pro team.

[> Sign up now](#)

K-Shape Recovery

The economic recovery has not been even. The rich have gotten disproportionately wealthier, further widening already disturbing wealth inequality trends. The poor scrap to make ends meet, relying heavily on a smorgasbord of fiscal stimulus. A year ago, when economic forecasters were

using the letters L, U, and V to describe the shape of economic recovery, Peter Atwater introduced the letter K. The basis of Peter's prediction was lockdowns unfairly hurt some while helping others. As a simple example, consider how well takeout pizza restaurants are doing versus high-end restaurants. The drastic changes in personal and corporate consumption habits benefit some to the detriment of others. Many executives, investors, and white collar workers are in great economic



John Pompliano
@JohnPompliano

... rcentage of the
ident on
reet below

The US added 50 new billionaires during the COVID-19 pandemic.

That brings the total count to 664 in the US.

Top 5 (% change):

1. Jeff Bezos: \$189B (+67%)
2. Elon Musk: \$182B (+642%)
3. Bill Gates: \$123B (+26%)
4. Mark Zuckerberg: \$95B (+75%)
5. Warren Buffett: \$91B (+35%)

Why isn't

monetary stimulus helping everyone?


Plinko Monetary Policy

The Fed's version of monetary policy is more akin to Plinko than you might believe. Instead of dropping a coin into a slot, the Fed drops dollars on Wall Street via bond purchases (QE). Once they purchase the bonds, the path of the coin, so to speak, is determined by the will of the banks that sold them the bonds. Unlike fiscal stimulus, the Fed cannot direct money to the economic sectors and/or people in need. **The Fed relies on the so-called "trickledown effect" to help the broad population and economy.** We can think of the Fed's Plinko board as having four "reward" scenarios at the bottom. Once the Fed buys bonds the banks essentially have four options. Their choices determine the winners and losers.

1. The banks can sit on the new reserves and earn interest from the Fed, with no effect to the economy. In this instance, they are the only winners.
2. They can lend reserves out for productive purposes, which benefits future economic growth. Everyone wins in this scenario.

3. The banks can also lend reserves for consumption purposes. Such debt provides a short-term spurt of economic activity. However, it creates future economic headwinds as the debt must be paid back and consumption was pulled forward.
4. Lastly, the banks can lend the money to speculators allowing them to increase leverage on their assets. Speculative borrowers are the winners when this occurs.

In reality, money lands in all four slots. However, slots 1, 3, and 4 are where the bulk of the Fed's purchases are ending up. Unlike the Price is Right, the Fed's version of Plinko has an additional "benefit." As we wrote in [The Fed is Juicing Stocks](#), QE boosts equity prices.




REAL
INVESTMENT
ADVICE


The next major "bear market"
is coming! Is your portfolio
strategy ready for it?

MEET WITH
RIA TODAY

Trickle Down Policy- Banks

Despite the Fed's claims that trickle-down policy works, there is ample evidence monetary stimulus does not help the broader economy or its citizens. One need only look at the rising level of debt as a percentage of GDP or pathetic productivity growth. These problems occur in part because the Fed cannot mandate the banks use funds to lend in ways that strengthen long-term economic growth. Not surprisingly, what we find is the banks use QE reserves to do what is best for their bottom lines.





Trickle Down Policy- Equity Prices

Who benefits from rising stock prices? To put context to the question, consider this quote from Forbes (8/31/2020):

"The latest available government data, via the [Federal Reserve](#) from 2016, shows a relatively small share of American families (14%) are directly invested in individual stocks but a majority (52%) have some market investment mostly from owning retirement accounts such as 401(k)s."

A mere 14% of the population owns stocks that directly affect their liquid wealth. 52%, including the 14%, own stocks via 401k plans. Any benefits of rising stock prices in retirement plans are not accessible until retirement. 48%, or about half of the population, receive no direct benefit from rising stock prices. Don't believe us? On February 25, 2021, Fed President Williams said:

"There is some evidence that low-interest rates can move up asset prices disproportionately held by wealthy households."

When the Fed's coin trickles down, there are a few select winners and many losers.



Real Investment Show
with Lance Roberts

Monday to Friday,
from 6 to 7am. [> Get it now](#)

Summary

Monetary policy is a game of chance. The winners are the wealthy who benefit immensely from rising equity prices and can borrow to buy even more assets at historic low rates. The rest of the population waits and watches and hopes that something trickles down. The Fed stands idly by falsely assuming their actions have direct and quantifiable benefits. Abnormally low interest rates and a speculative investment environment bring forward future consumption and dissuade companies and individuals from productive investments. The price tag will come tomorrow when we lack the means to pay for today's debt and find yesterday's consumption can fill today's need. The Fed's only response will be what it has been; we didn't do enough.